

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/829,219		04/09/2001	Antonio Montserrate Gibernau	932.1194	8578
21831	7590	03/30/2004		EXAMINER	
		ASKIN, P.C.	MADSEN, ROBERT A		
	1140 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, 15th FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10036-5803			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1761	

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

~ 1	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/829,219	MONTSERRATE GIBERNAU, ANTONIO					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Robert Madsen	1761 ·					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 08 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
 a) The period for reply expires 1 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). 							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 87 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) \square they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: none.							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-15.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.							
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:	S	MILTON I. CANO UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/829,219

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitation "a portion of the outer package is melted to permit removal of the inner wrapper from the outer package during heating of the assembly in a microwave oven" would require further search and consideration since the function/purpose of the melting was not previously recited . .